

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_124667
Title of the Manuscript:	Systematic Review of AI-Driven Storytelling Applications in Early Childhood Education: Impacts on Language Acquisition and Literacy Development
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is germane because early childhood education is key to national development bearing in mind too that children are the future of a nation. Children love storytelling. Memories of a story are easily retained as such, if AI story telling tools can be incorporated in education, learning will be more functional. The fact that stakeholders should look into cultural sensitivity for AI's full potential is important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The topic is suitable but I would suggest the following: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE IMPACTS OF AI-DRIVEN STORRYTELLING APPLICATIONS ON LANGUAGE ACQUISITION AND LITERACY DEVELOPMENT IN EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The conclusion subsection could be divided into two. Separate conclusion from recommendations.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subsections were well spelt out and explained. Any person can read and understand what the work is about and it can be used for interdisciplinary researches. Any study on AI has currency and can be applied. As I was reading, I am already thinking of using AI in foreign language teaching in higher education with reference to French acquisition.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author(s) edited the work thoroughly. The language is suitable and correct.	
Optional/General comments	The author(s) did a good work. I recommend that the article be published.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Salome Nandi Ishaya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Jos, Nigeria</b>