

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124640
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions of Nigerian Physiotherapists Regarding Online Learning for Continuous Professional Development.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Perceptions of Nigerian Physiotherapists Regarding Online Learning for Continuous Professional Development" provides valuable insights into the current state of professional development among Nigerian physiotherapists and offers practical suggestions for improving similar initiatives in comparable settings. The writing is simple and clear, making the paper accessible and understandable for readers. Additionally, the study has practical implications for the field of professional development.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Ok.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Ok.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>However, the study relies solely on descriptive statistics from 74 valid samples, which limits the depth of analysis. While basic demographic information such as gender, degree, and location has been collected, the paper would benefit greatly from inferential statistical analysis. This would allow for comparisons across different groups, such as gender and location, providing more meaningful and academically significant insights into the professional development of physiotherapists. Without these comparisons, the findings offer limited value to the academic community.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Ok.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Ok.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presents a useful and practical exploration of Nigerian physiotherapists' perceptions regarding online learning for continuous professional development. The clear and accessible language ensures that readers from various backgrounds can engage with the content. While the descriptive statistics provide a basic understanding of the sample, the inclusion of inferential statistics would enhance the academic contribution of the study by uncovering more nuanced insights related to factors such as gender and geographic differences. Expanding the analysis would add more depth and relevance to the professional development discussion within the field of physiotherapy.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chen-Li Huang</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Taitung University, Taiwan</b>