

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124582
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE PRACTICE OF BIOETHICS EDUCATION IN A TERTIARY INSTITUTION OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it highlights the critical role of bioethics education in medical training, emphasizing its relevance in fostering ethical practices among future healthcare professionals. The study's findings suggest that practical experiences are essential for effective learning, advocating for an earlier introduction of bioethical education before clinical practice. I appreciate this manuscript for its focus on qualitative insights, which can guide curriculum development in medical education. However, it also points to the need for broader implementation strategies to enhance the impact of bioethics training, suggesting that further research is necessary.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its methodological rigor, utilizing focus group discussions and key informant interviews to gather qualitative data, which enhances the depth of insights on bioethics education. The use of Creswell's six-step qualitative data analysis indicates a structured approach to data interpretation, ensuring thoroughness and reliability in findings. Additionally, the identification of key themes, such as the importance of practical sessions and early education in bioethics, reflects a critical engagement with the subject matter. This suggests that the research addresses relevant issues in medical education and offers actionable recommendations, enhancing its practical significance in the field.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Good to have few latest references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	nil	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shivananda S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>JSS Dental College and Hospital, India</b>