

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124255
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nursing Interventions in the Management of Heart Failure A Review</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I like the topic "Nursing Interventions for the management of heart failure" in relation to its importance to the scientific community because it contributes to advancing evidence based practice. Heart failure is a major public health issue and nursing interventions are keys to reducing mortality, hospital readmission and improving the quality of life for patients. This manuscript provides both pharmacological and non pharmacological interventions for managing heart failure like; patient education, dietary and life style modifications.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title of the article is concise and good but it can be ; <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Comprehensive nursing interventions for managing Heart Failure: A Review There should be clearer word for "REVIEW" which explain the type of review like ; systematic review, scoping review, integrative review etc</li></ul>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is concise and comprehensive. The abstract of manuscript consist of about 150 words, it could be of 200-250 word with more elaboration and details.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	All subsections and their structure are appropriate and suitable.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Scientific correctness is the accuracy, validity and reliability of the content and its contribution to scientific community which is incorporated in this manuscript. It is scientifically robust and technical sound because it includes review objectives and aims, error free and technically precise presentation, ethical integrity and peer reviewed but it missed methodology section.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient and mostly are recent. Some references are obsolete beyond 2013-14.it is much better if more recent last 05 years articles or maximum of last 10 years studies be included in the study.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and quality of English used in this article is suitable for scholarly communication because it meet academic and professional standards which will meaningfully contribute to the body of nursing knowledge. It can be used for further academic work. There are minor grammar errors.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Over all manuscript is well prepared, comprehensive, technically sound, contributing to nursing knowledge and nursing practice and will be helpful in meeting the ultimate goal of Nursing. I want to mention here one thing that is; Review methodology is much more mandatory in every review and studies but it is not included and mentioned in this manuscript, without a methodology, the review's reliability and scholarly value would be questionable.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zubaida Khatoon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>State College of Nursing, Pakistan</b>