

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124255
Title of the Manuscript:	Nursing Interventions in the Management of Heart Failure A Review
Type of the Article	Nursing Interventions in the Management of Heart Failure A Review

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript, "Nursing Interventions in the Management of Heart Failure: A Review," is highly valuable to the scientific community because it consolidates evidence-based nursing practices that significantly impact patient outcomes in heart failure management. By focusing on nursing-specific interventions, it highlights the critical role nurses play in improving patient quality of life, reducing hospital readmissions, and promoting self-care behaviors. I appreciate the manuscript for its comprehensive analysis of practical, actionable strategies that bridge the gap between medical treatment and patient-centered care. It could further benefit from deeper exploration of emerging technologies and tools that assist nurses in heart failure care.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript "Nursing Interventions in the Management of Heart Failure: A Review" appears scientifically robust and technically sound because it bases its conclusions on a thorough review of current, evidence-based research. The authors systematically evaluate clinical studies and guidelines, which supports the validity of the proposed nursing interventions. Moreover, the manuscript adheres to established scientific methodologies, including clear definitions, logical organization, and precise citations, making its findings reliable. Its reliance on peer-reviewed sources ensures that the recommendations are both credible and up-to-date with current heart failure management practices.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javid Ahmad Mir
Department, University & Country	Kashmir University, India