

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_124027
Title of the Manuscript:	Municipal Solid Waste Management System: A Case Study of Aligarh City
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic chose a relevant topic which makes the work interesting. Besides, the work is not just relevant to the academic community, it also serves as a focal point to the community. The methodology is good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable but it is better as 'Municipal Solid Waste Management System: A Study of Aligarh City'.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author should do the following: a. Move keywords to after the findings b. Arrange keywords in alphabetical order c. Indicate the methodology used for the research d. Ensure the abstract isn't more than 250 words	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is neither scientifically robust nor technically sound. The author did not show any methodology to justify or framework to support the study. I understand that this is a conceptual paper which may not require robust analysis, but there is a need to demonstrate quality justification for the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are too small.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is in PDF format. That makes it difficult to test for plagiarism. Besides, plagiarism and AI checks should have been done before sending it out for review.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oyenuga Michael Oyedele
Department, University & Country	School of Business, Woxsen University, India