

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_124764
Title of the Manuscript:	Unleashing the Potential of Paddy Straw Substrate Preparation Methods for Enhanced Yield and Biological Efficiency in milky mushroom ( <i>Calocybe indica</i> P&C)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Generally milky mushroom bags are prepared using chopped paddy straw. But now a days bundle paddy straw is not available plentifully. Therefore, paddy straw in different forms are tested used to prepare milky mushroom bags.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. it is not preparation method Evaluation of paddy straw for production of milky mushroom ( <i>Calocybe indica</i> )	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Corrected in the manuscript wherever necessary	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the manuscript formula was written wrongly and corrected during review. But technically materials are sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference are sufficient but uniformity should be maintained in the reference section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor mistakes have been suggested for correction in the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The title was too lengthy and not proper. So one title has been suggested. References should be followed as per the format given by the journal.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nirajan Chinara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>