

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JABB_121673</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Influence of genotypes and environments on protein content in chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment  | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | Submitted manuscript is good in view of the importance of protein content in the diet of human beings. The present investigation will help in developing transgressive segregants with respect to protein content and other desirable traits. |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Yes, suitable   |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes, No addition or deletion required   |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes. appropriate  |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Corrections required as marked 'Red'. After minor corrections manuscript may be published.  |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Arrangement references is required  |  |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments<br><br>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Suitable  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |  |

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>B.L. Kumhar</b>                       |
| Department, University & Country | <b>SKN College of Agriculture, India</b> |