

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_122781
Title of the Manuscript:	Malaria Parasitaemia Severity and Oxidative Stress Markers in Patients Attending Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject of this manuscript is very important from a scientific point of view, but the statistical results are unsatisfactory and unacceptable if compared with previous references that deal with the same subject. Therefore, I think it would have been better to address this manuscript on a larger number of patients to obtain statistical significance results.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate, but it would be better to put the following title:- Effect of Malaria Parasitaemia Severity on some Oxidative Stress Markers in Patients Attending Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but the aim of the study and discussion should be placed in separate headings.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is technically correct but not scientifically strong where the same topic idea was investigated more than once, but unfortunately the statistical results of this manuscript are statistically not significant, unlike the previous studies results, where these results were not explained or interpreted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and somewhat up-to-date, but need additional references, especially in the discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	According to the comments within the manuscript. English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The article summary is comprehensive in form, but in substance it is not comprehensive, as the interpretation and explanation in the discussion is not enough and need more review in a recent references that address the same idea.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim Ali Emara
Department, University & Country	Egypt