

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_123108 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | "Population Dynamics and Environmental Correlates of Insect Pests in Watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) Cultivation" |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>Authors have represented very well information about the population dynamics of water melon insect pests. These findings emphasize the importance of precise timing in pest management interventions minimize crop losses and enhance the sustainability and productivity of watermelon cultivation in India.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>Yes its correct</p> | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>As I have already gave the comments in the manuscript itself and once again here am recommending some of the point from the scientific point view: Always the spp. should not be in italic form for any insects. Firstly the common name of the pests should be written, then the scientific name and finally the authority name of the pests should come. Once they have written the full name of the genus and species of pests then afterward no need to write the full name of the genus and <i>T. palmi</i> should we written and these should apply for all the pests.</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Quality of the english is well written for the communication and the manuscript can be accepted for the publication after minor revisions.</p> | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Vinod Kumar Dubey |
| Department, University & Country | Shridhar University, India |