

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122614
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate change: Adaptation and mitigation potential in agriculture and related management strategies: A comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I like this manuscript because it has identified the possible effects of climate change in agriculture, it has identified what indigenous people are doing to overcome the challenges, it has enumerated challenges in adopting CSA and has given way forward.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>NO.</p> <p>A comprehensive review of potential adaptation and mitigation strategies in agriculture in the current climate change scenarios</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Adequate. However, the methodology used in getting information should be included and the last sentence.... The reasons India needs to implement climate-resilient agriculture methods should be deleted.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The author sets out clearly on what is being investigated and gives a picture of the current situation. It goes ahead to identify what four tribes are doing in the wake of climate change. The paper highlights possibilities of food insecurity should the current practices continue. The paper demonstrates the challenges CSA faces and offers solutions and concludes by giving independent opinions on what should be done by all parties involved for prosperity.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>Sufficient</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John O. Oloo
Department, University & Country	Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science and Technology, Kenya