

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121933
Title of the Manuscript:	Global Impacts of Extended COVID-19 Lockdowns: Intersecting Perspectives on Environmental Quality, Food Security, Human Health, and Societal Dynamics
Type of the Article	compilation work

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study is actually a good compilation but it has some deficiencies. I made some markings on the text. Some metaphorical explanations may create scientific and ethical problems, each topic is superficially handled, strengths, weaknesses, threats and opportunities and suggestions are not handled well. Only the facts are stated</p> <p>In the same way.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suitable</p>	-
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The explanation of the topics mentioned in the summary content is sufficient, the problem is that the text content is not sufficient and the balance between the themes is not fully revealed/explained.</p> <p>The explanation of the topics mentioned in the summary content is sufficient, the problem is that the text content is not sufficient and the balance between the themes is not fully revealed/explained.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Food security is an important issue, and the issue of self-sufficiency, underdeveloped and developed countries, and their positive or negative effects on each other can be developed a little more. Good articles on this subject can be get on the internet.</p>	-
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>In the 21st century, the world has witnessed a spectrum of catastrophic events, ranging from the devastating World Wars to the brutalities of Napoleon's fascism</p> <p>Although there has been an increasing number of publications on this subject in recent years, there are also counter-publications. Fascism in particular is a 20th century phenomenon. Since the text is not based on Napoleon, I would recommend that a more careful example be chosen than this example, which is open to criticism. It may be a different expression and may cause ethical- academic problems.</p> <p>It is scientifically based on official documents, but also global information shared with the public. This information has been made anonymous. This has a current validity. However, some places such as Napoleon Fascism (marked in the text) are problematic.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Sufficient</p> <p>The study is not research but a compilation. In this respect, since there may be many citations from articles in the literature, I recommend the editor to check for similarity.As turnitin checker.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. The language English is not my native language, so I may not be assertive in my evaluation.	
Optional/General comments	The issue of analogies requires caution. Determinations that question urban security but are not based on research may even be the subject of litigation. In the same way and In addition to this, the themes that form the basis of the title should be expanded and related to each other, and I believe that it can be published after corrections are made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zerrin Toprak Karaman
Department, University & Country	University of Dokuz, Türkiye