

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121933
Title of the Manuscript:	Global Impacts of Extended COVID-19 Lockdowns: Intersecting Perspectives on Environmental Quality, Food Security, Human Health, and Societal Dynamics
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	COVID-19 pandemic has totally changed the physical and mental health status, the environmental state, agricultural and industrial economy. Practically there was huge impact of COVID 19 pandemic for the society. Hence this manuscript has immense importance to list out and discuss about the multidimensional impacts of COVID pandemic on society.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is comprehensive. Minute correction is required in this section.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some corrections are required for appropriateness of the manuscript. Details mentioned in "Minor revision comments" section multidimensional impacts	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is valuable as it discussed about the multidimensional impacts of COVID pandemic on society. Many research articles of different subjects have been discussed simultaneously. This article will be helpful for the knowledge and awareness regarding the impact of COVID 19 pandemic in socioeconomic status and environmental conditions apart from mental and physical health status of the people.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Abstract- 2nd paragraph, 1st line- “ On the one hand” sentence can be removed.</p> <p>4.1.1 Quality of Air- “In the capital, New Delhi, government data shows the average concentration of PM 2.5 plunged by 71% in the space of a week, falling from 91 micrograms per cubic meter from 20 to 26 March on 27 March, after the lockdown began.”- the sentence does not depict clear meaning.</p> <p>4.1.3- Wildlife as influences by lockdown- N of the “Nilgai” should be in capital letter.</p> <p>"The concern is that we will lose the last ten years of good conservation work and an increase in animal numbers quickly because of this." Noise Pollution and Wildlife.-This sentence should be reframed.</p> <p>4.4. Societal influence – First 4 lines, sentence needs to be reframed.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Domestic violence should be a separate heading</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Note: This will be good Review article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Jaya Banerjee</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Yashoda Hospital, India</p>