

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122772
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Nutritional and Antioxidant Properties of Cola cordifolia Seeds
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This research is quite good as a reference for alternative food supplement treasury to prevent metabolic diseases.</p> <p>Opening the way for further research to use the best extract from Cola cordifolia Seeds.</p> <p>Reducing the use of artificial chemicals as food supplements to prevent metabolic diseases.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate, concise, and clearly describes the purpose of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract already reports the contents of the research	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is in accordance with the manuscript structure	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript is quite clear in answering the problem because it can detect the content of Cola cordifolia Seeds, even though using conventional methods.</p> <p>There needs to be a comparison of other plants with higher content.</p> <p>It shows which part is special about Cola cordifolia Seeds as Nutritional and Antioxidant Properties.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references of this manuscript are less updated, only 13 references out of 47 are taken from articles in the last 5 years. To strengthen please search in the European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used in this manuscript is suitable for scientific communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is good enough, to be worthy of publication in this journal, please improve it according to the requested improvements.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ersandhi Resnhaleksmana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>