

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_122433
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTILIPIDEMIC EFFECT OF ETHANOLIC EXTRACT OF Aloe barbadensis GEL, Cymbopogon citratus LEAVES AND ITS COMBINATION ON ALLOXAN-INDUCED DIABETIC RAT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Though it is not a major experimental investigation, the authors attempt the study very well as per the study protocol. It's good for learning perspectives and preparing protocols for future study as well. They focus on Alloxan-induced diabetic animal models and real hands-on development of disorder-induced animal models. Learn about statical interpretation of complex groups.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Glibenclamide marketed preparation choose as a standard reference, but there some excipients as well overages in marketed preparation so the desire exact concertation not possible to achieve. Another thing is that they can't keep a one-time prepared suspension for thought study. They need to prepare the dose of standard suspension every day before dosing a least 30mins before of dosing i.e. freshly prepared. Kindly mention pharmaceutical dosage form they used in study as well whether preparation having any kind of polymer coating or uncoated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sagar Narendra Ande
Department, University & Country	India