

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRDES_123100</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Dermatophytosis: A Review of Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, Clinical Features, Diagnosis and Treatment Strategies in Nepal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This topic on Dermatophytosis: A Review of Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, Clinical Features, Diagnosis and Treatment Strategies in Nepal is significant because it focuses on the Public health need in Nepal. It is also regional base because it focuses on Nepal, this means that this research can provide insights into the unique epidemiological patterns, cultural practices, and environmental factors that influence the spread and management of dermatophytosis in the region. It has a wide scope covering epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical features, diagnosis and treatment. It is not only academically rigorous but also highly relevant to healthcare providers and policymakers in Nepal</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive as it covers the three common organisms responsible for dermatophytosis. It highlights the species of Trichophyton commonly found in the community. It equally pointed out the diagnostic methods commonly used and the common drug used for treatment in the community.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The scientific correctness of this manuscript addresses the main aspect of dermatophytosis, including its epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical features, diagnosis, and treatment strategies.</p> <p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because, it provides a comprehensive review of dermatophytosis, covering key areas like epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical features, diagnosis, and treatment strategies, which are critical for informing the populace and managing the disease. Again, the findings on the manuscript are based on its credible electronic databases like Google Scholar, NCBI, PubMed, and Scopus, ensuring that the literature reviewed is current and relevant. Also, the regional focus on Nepal gives important insights into how local environmental and socioeconomic factors influence the prevalence and treatment of dermatophytosis, making the research contextually significant</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript cover foundational and region-specific studies, but the inclusion of more recent research is crucial to ensure the manuscript reflects the latest developments in dermatophytosis, especially in terms of diagnostics and treatment strategies. Authors should consider recent global guidelines and reviews, advancements in diagnostic techniques and new Treatment Strategies:</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Accept after minor correction</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Charity Ndidi Obum-Nnadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Abuja, Nigeria</b>