

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_122300
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Wolff-Parkinson-White Pattern on Anthropometric Variables and Blood Pressure Indices among Adult Nigerians of Yoruba Ethnicity
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is important to scientific community as it assesses the effects of the Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) pattern on anthropometric parameters and cardiovascular indices. The presence of WPW pattern and palpitation is regarded as WPW syndrome. Wolf-Parkinson-White (WPW) pattern is a congenital cardiac disorder characterized by the presence of an accessory pathway known as the bundle of Kent. The manuscript is useful for the domain of study.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically strong as it touches upon an important topic of Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) syndrome. Wolff-Parkinson-White patterns are dangerous congenital heart disease that may exist without symptoms or significant alteration of anthropometric and blood pressure indices. So analysis of such a syndrome may aid in diagnosis and treatment of the related diseases.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language / English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is useful to scientific community.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgaprasad Kamat
Department, University & Country	SPPU, India