

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_122973
Title of the Manuscript:	Silkworm gut micro flora as a source: Biotechnological Applications
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is of great theoretical value to the scientific community, since it is a review article on silkworms, bringing together diverse information in a single manuscript and the possibilities of biotechnological applications.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Review the title. In the text, one of the words is not standardized with the text.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>In the abstract, explain or exemplify which molecules and enzymes are biotechnologically important for industrial application and especially those of therapeutic importance. This information will make the article more interesting for readers and the scientific community and will arouse interest in reading the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add lines to pages and add sequential lines to text. Without numbering it is very difficult to make suggestions and changes in the text. 2. I suggest that you improve the standardization of fonts and sizes, that the figures and tables are all redone with more care. 3. The figures and table inserted in "5. GUT MICROBIAL COMMUNITY IDENTIFICATION" were not mentioned in the text, as well as the other figures. Correct. <p>I suggest redoing the structure of the article, inserting lines and numbers on the pages, standardizing letters and sizes, redoing everything more carefully.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript can be improved by adding more recent articles, exploring more the biotechnological part of the silkworm and especially exploring the therapeutic part that is of current interest. It is very important that you add lines and pages to the manuscript so that suggestions can be made in certain parts of the text. Demonstrate current practical biotechnological and therapeutic applications possible from the silkworm.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The manuscript can be improved with the addition of more current articles. Standardize the references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English is adequate for the scientific community, and suggestions are not possible due to the lack of page and line numbers.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Since this is a bibliographic review, the theoretical basis needs to be very well outlined and the review must be very complete. I believe that this is one of the most difficult manuscripts to write. So I suggest that you spend more time searching for and delving into what we already have and, above all, presenting more recent and necessary arguments to continue research and the importance of silkworm biotechnology and therapeutics.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	France Anne Dias Ruas
Department, University & Country	Sao Paulo