

Review Form 3

4

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_120455
Title of the Manuscript:	Culture feasibility, <u>dd</u> omestication and <u>bb</u> reeding potentiality of <u>P</u> <i>Penaeus indicus</i> in the southwest region of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	<u>Original research paper</u>

Formatted: Font: Italic

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<u>The theme addressed by the authors is of paramount importance for the development of aquaculture in Bangladesh. The results obtained after the study will contribute to the domestication of <i>Penaeus indicus</i>. The results obtained after the study will contribute to the domestication of <i>Penaeus indicus</i>. The species can be raised in the wild or controlled systems. The species can be raised in the wild or controlled. Thus, its perpetuity would be assured.</u>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>Yes</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<u>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<u>Yes</u>	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<u>The species on which the study focused is very appreciated by the consumers. The problem was well addressed. The methodology used to collect the data was well presented. The results were well presented to. The discussion was well conducted. The results obtained can be used to produce shrimp in Bangladesh and others countries.</u>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	<u>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</u>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<u>Yes, then the authors may conform the bibliographic references to the requirements of the journal</u>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<u>No comment</u>	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Italic

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diane N.S. Kpogue Gangbazo
Department, University & Country	Université Nationale d'Agriculture, Bénin