

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_122308
Title of the Manuscript:	Geoelectrical Evaluation Of Contamination In And Around Ori-Ile Battery Wastes Dumpsite In Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The following report is based on my review of the manuscript entitled "Goelectrical evaluation of contamination in and around Ori-ile battery wastes dumpsite in Ibadan, southwestern Nigeria". The manuscript <u>2024-AJEE-122308</u> is within the scope of "Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology" and is also interesting. However, the following comments have been pointed out and need to be addressed properly for further improvement of the manuscript. They are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The present work is appreciable. Remove the full stop at the end of the title. The abstract required through editing. The abbreviations should be defined on their first appearance. 2. The introduction is well written. However, authors need to discuss more about the present scenario of wastewater treatment plant based on studies carried out earlier. 3. The problem statement should be clearly stated. 4. The methodology of the present work is very well structured. 5. The results and discussion should be improved. Avoid grammatical mistakes. 6. The conclusion section is also well written. However, authors should mention facts and figures related to the work. 7. Authors should avoid citations of non-index articles. Kindly, update the reference list with valid indexed citations. Authors should cite only recent 5 years published articles. 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azmatullah Noor
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Malaysia