

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_122696
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mandibular Imaging Follow-up in a Patient with Breast Cancer and Dental Implant Treatment: A Case Study</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This script is good. The case study presented is good. The case study in the script is detailed enough to explain the chronology of the case in the patient.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is, the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This script uses communicative language. This script uses good health terms. This script explains in detail about the patient's case.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references have been sufficient  Please use the most recent bibliography for journal articles published five years ago.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article uses English which is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Annisa Fitri Rahmadini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Akademi Kebidanan Prima Husada Bogor, Indonesia</b>