

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAAR_122857 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | LIVING HEDGES AND HOUSEHOLD FOOD AND NUTRITION SECURITY IN COTE D'IVOIRE |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Manuscript is important to the academic community; I like the manuscript because its informative and detailed enough to empower farmers to better optimize the farming potentials. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Title is suitable enough. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract is comprehensive enough, no suggestion. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Manuscript is scientifically relevant to the scientific and academic community. Manuscript is technically relevant and informative to farmers | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are recent and sufficient | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English language is suitable and scholarly | |
| Optional/General comments | Manuscript meets standard requirements | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Darlington Eze Ekechukwu |
| Department, University & Country | University of East London, United Kingdom |