

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122606
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Drought on the Livelihoods of Livestock Farmers in Western Odisha: A Comparative Study between Drought and Non-Drought Years
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript addresses a timely topic and makes a relevant contribution to the field. However, the written work may not be totally suitable for scholarly output due to its language and English quality.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Other suggestion for rephrasing the title</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "Drought's Impact on Livestock Farmers' Livelihoods in Western Odisha: A Comparative Analysis of Drought and Non-Drought Years"</li> <li>2. "Assessing the Effects of Drought on Livestock Farming Livelihoods in Western Odisha: A Study of Drought and Non-Drought Years"</li> <li>3. "Livelihood Vulnerability of Livestock Farmers to Drought in Western Odisha: A Comparative Study of Drought and Non-Drought Years"</li> <li>4. "The Impact of Drought on Livestock Farming Households in Western Odisha: A Comparative Analysis of Drought and Non-Drought Years"</li> <li>5. "Drought and Livestock Farming Livelihoods in Western Odisha: A Comparative Study of Coping Mechanisms and Vulnerability in Drought and Non-Drought Years"</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract needs to be rewritten example given in the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Needs subsections for materials and methods</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =</p>	<p>References are not adequate</p> <p>[2] FAO (2019). The Impact of Drought on Agriculture and Food Security. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.</p> <p>[3] IPCC (2013). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.</p> <p>[4] Morton, J. (2017). Livestock and Climate Change: A Review of the Literature. Climate and Development, 9(2), 147-162.</p> <p>[5] Thornton, P. K., &amp; Herrero, M. (2015). Climate Change and Livestock Production: Impacts, Adaptation, and Mitigation. Animal Production and Health Working Paper No. 16.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the piece of writing might not be entirely appropriate for academic discourse.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript addresses a timely topic and makes a relevant contribution to the field. However, some major revisions are needed before it can be published. The key argument needs to be worked out and formulated much more clearly. The results and conclusions are difficult to follow and need to be rewritten to highlight the key contributions of this manuscript. The line of argumentation should be improved by dividing the manuscript into clear sections with subheadings. While the paper addresses an interesting issue, it is not publishable in its current form, the writing style need to be addressed. I encourage the authors to engage scientific writers and to resubmit it to the journal.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Never Assan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Zimbabwe Open University, Zimbabwe</b>