

[Review Form 3](#)

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_121953
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Gold From Green Waste: Biochar Production From Plant Residues
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review emphasizes the potential of biochar as an affordable bioremediation for soil, and plant growth and how biochar application can help to improve global warming. However, this review did not discuss in detail type/various plants as biochar feedstock in previous studies. The processes of biochar production were also mentioned in general, and more focus on pyrolysis method.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Biochar for Soil Remediation; From Green Waste to Green Gold	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please refer to the article's file for suggestions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sufficient	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is written in appropriate standard English, with correct terms and concept. This article focuses on the application of biochar on soil remediation for plant growth, subsequently improving global warming. This article is expected to contribute to the extensive current research demanding on biochar and its benefits in various applications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are sufficient. However, there were typo errors and inconsistencies in the formats of references. The author also needs to check the format for references other than the journal, for examples; book, thesis, webpage, book chapter, etc. The references were written manually. Please refer to the article's	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noor Halini Baharim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Selangor, Malaysia