

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116272
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Characterization of Native Isolates of <i>Metarhizium rileyi</i> (Farlow) Samson (Ascomycetes: Hypocreales) from major cropping ecosystems of Northeastern Karnataka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes; the manuscript meets the high standard quality and important for community. Explain the high selectivity and effective control of entomopathogenic fungus which act as a biocontrol agent.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES ; its matter cover all scientific parameter.</p> <p>Some more latest refereneces can be added e.g. JL Wang,2022; MP Montecalvo, 2021; M Gu, 2023.</p> <p>Some correction is also required:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Three references which are coated in text (highlighted in red) not present in reference list. 2. Many references which are present in reference list are not coated in the text. (These are highlighted in red) 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes English language is flawless and comprehensive. Only at 1 or 2 places there is mistake in spelling which is marked in red in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic as well as the work and result are very influential.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uma Rani Agrawal
Department, University & Country	University of Allahabad, India