

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122913</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Estimation of antioxidant activity and DNA damaging protection capacity for some herbal supplements</b>
Type of the Article	<b>RESEARCHED ARTICLE</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	It enables the scientific community to gain insight on the herbal supplements having a very important significance for human health and disease treatment, considering some of them to having a high total antioxidant activity and can protect the human genomic DNA from damaging and oxidative factors while others do not, and that may be due to the supplement compounds nature as revealed by the researched work	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes it is.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>It is comprehensive enough</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes it is</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The research design and methodology is sound, appropriate and clearly described</li> <li>2. The data provided seems to accurate, reliable and sufficient to support the conclusion, though there are little irregularity which i believe is due human error</li> <li>3. The statistical analysis techniques used were appropriate and correctly applied to the best of my knowledge</li> <li>4. To a relative extent, the research is free from bias and the conclusions was based on empirical evidence</li> </ol>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Majority of the references are very recent and they are sufficient for this research work</b>	
Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>The language/english quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications This is particularly on typographical errors, spacing between some words</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The article if published will definitely contribute the existing body of knowledge</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jegede Rotimi Jude</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria</b>