

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_123136
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of Integrated System for the Comprehensive Utilization of Yellow River Sediment-- Sealing Machine Structure and Control System Design
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study looks interesting from the look of things on the abstract, though has a lot of issues that require attention	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No (poor grammar) Proposed title: Design of an integrated system for utilization of yellow river sediment-- Sealing Machine Structure and Control System Design	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is full of grammar issues, check main document for my corrections, it also lacks why the researcher is actually doing this study, the objectives of the study are missing in this abstract, there are no any results presented here, no conclusions, no recommendations This abstract should be fully reviewed by the author and rewritten for a better scientific understanding	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are not right, the manuscript is poorly written. The author should follow guidelines of the journal	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The referencing style is wrong. I think the author should go back and read about referencing to be able to produce a comprehensive write up. Even in the bibliography, there are spelling errors	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Spelling errors and grammar issues English used in this manuscript is not right	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Simon Peter Wafula
Department, University & Country	Ndeje University, University of North Texas (UNT), USA