

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JCTI_122211</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DOUBLE PRIMARY CANCER: BREAST CANCER AND GASTRIC CANCER</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I hope you are doing good. This is the peer review i made for yiur journal Positive Remarks: - "The authors present a well-structured and clearly written manuscript that makes a significant contribution to the field." - "The research is original and rigorously conducted, with robust methodology and analysis." - "The findings are timely and relevant, with important implications for practice and policy." - "The authors demonstrate a deep understanding of the subject matter and provide insightful discussions." Constructive Criticisms:* - "The introduction could be strengthened by providing more context and background information." - "The methodology section requires more detail and clarity, particularly regarding data collection and analysis procedures." - "Some figures and tables could be improved for better readability and interpretation." - "The discussion could benefit from more nuanced and critical ev</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suggestions for Improvement:* - "Consider adding more diverse perspectives and examples to enhance the manuscript's generalizability." - "Provide more explicit connections between the research questions, methods, and findings." - "Address potential limitations and avenues for future research in the discussion section." - " Clarify and justify the choice of statistical analysis and modeling approaches."</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Remember to be specific, objective, and respectful in your peer review remarks, providing constructive feedback to help authors improve their work.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zunaira Qayyum</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College, Pakistan</b>