

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAERI_123338</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Insecticidal and Anti-feedant activity of Clerodendrum extracts on growth and development of Castor Spiny Caterpillar, Ariadne merione</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Unfortunately, natural plant-based products were gradually phased out until recently, when synthetic pesticides threatened human health and the environment. Today, people want food grown with pesticide-free and naturally derived treatments. Continued usage of synthetic insecticides has caused environmental damage, health problems, and loss of species diversity, contamination and biodiversity problems. There is an important goal of this article. There is good authors job.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	In my opinion, the title of the manuscript is absolutely appropriate for the submitted text and I have no alternative	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, the abstract is absolutely comprehensive.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The structure is right for the article in my mind	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This article is interesting and actual by the purposes. As the scientific side, article is absolutely correct and understanding. We can see big work of authors command.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are good.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This article is interesting and actual by the purposes. As the scientific side, article is absolutely correct and understanding. We can see big work of authors command. 1. In my opinion, authors should use Botanical classification for plant names 2. Every scientific investigation has a Standard. There I didn't see any news about nature of <i>Ariadne merione</i> (F).But it must be done.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olena Grechana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Zaporizhzhya State Medical and Pharmacy University, Ukraine</b>