

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAERI_122924</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Growth Parameters of Zea Mays (Maize) and Talinum Triangulare (Water Leaf) in Variable Iron and Potassium Growth Media</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific and good work. Through the results, this method can be used as a tool to guide nutrient management strategies to improve crop productivity and contribute to sustainable food security and agricultural practices resilient to environmental changes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No comment	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1- Comprehensive abstract but it is little bit long. 2- It is better if author can shorten it: one sentence of context, one sentence of objective, two sentences of materials and methods, two sentences for the results and one sentence of conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1- The Introduction is too long while the Results needs subsections restructuration. 2- Author should revised the Discussion because there are no references. 3- In the materials and methods section, it is necessary to add where and when this study was carried out. 4- Figure 4 needs to be improved (the y-axis is missing)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author developed a technical tool for nutrient management which are validate by a scientific experimental test.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In this form, the references are sufficient but it is necessary to add those of the discussion.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1- Pay attention to punctuation, spelling and grammar 2- Make short and simple sentences	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hantanirina Herisoa Isabelle</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Université Antananarivo, Madagascar</b>