

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAERI_122605</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Cowpea (vigna unguiculata (L), walp) Storage Technique and some Stocks Sanitary Quality in Center North Region of Burkina Faso.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>RESEARCH PAPER</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Aspergillus, penicillium, and Fusarium- Italics            Table 1 – Make capital letter of Town and Village            Spacing of 2.3,2.4 ,2.5 etc            for 5 min; change ; to,  <b>Figure 2</b> type of storage -capitalize word T            Capitalize F from fig 3            Check and do the needful for the figures and naming of figures            : this is-capitalize T  <b>Chemicals used for internal layout</b> : two-capitalize t            Figure 6 :add title to chart and remove chart title            Need correction in titles use english and capitalize letters accordingly throughout the manuscript            Remove.... From diagram instead write etc.  <b>NEED TO IMPROVE WHOLE MANUSCRIPT</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>SUITABLE</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>NO NEED</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>IT IS GOOD BUT NEED BASIC CORRECTIONS OF CAPITALIZING THE FIRST LETTER AND FIGURES AND TABLES ARE NOT LABELLED IN A GOOD MANNER</b></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>REVISION NEEDED</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>IMROVE AND DO THE NEEDFULCORRECTIONS</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sucheta Dahiya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SGT University, India</b>