

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122733
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of New Variant Beer Using Papaya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Commercial beer industry highlights only alcohol content and polarity. Unlike bio vinegar (like apple ceder) is not known with this kind of beverage. Hence the study is in favour of fruit-based beer specially papaya abundantly available in many parts of the word. [Appreciated]	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Adequate and precise	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The concusive results (qualitative or quatitative) are not highlighted	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	As per the article guide norms	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author/s attempted novel idea of fruit based beer particularly with abundantly available papaya sources all over the world. Need some comparison with either other fruits or the exact nutrient/ vitamins responsible for the appreciable taste, appearance, aroma etc	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate as per journal guidelines. Can be supported with more citation	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is adequate for technical quality communication	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All abbreviations need to be expanded on its first appearance where ever necessary 2. Abstact can include the recommended results 3. Citation/ referencing should have uniform format like number format or author year format 4. Require minor revision for acceptance 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nedumaran Balasubramanian
Department, University & Country	Adama Science and Technology University, Bule Hora University, Ethiopia