

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122733</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Development of New Variant Beer Using Papaya</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It could significantly contribute to the scientific community by exploring the fermentation dynamics and potential health benefits associated with incorporating tropical fruits. I appreciate the innovative angle, nutritional benefits, sustainability, cultural fusion, market differentiation, research opportunities, consumer trends and flavour exploration as well in this manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it's okay.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It's okay.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, I found them appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript on developing a new variant beer using papaya is scientifically robust due to its comprehensive methodology, which includes a specific brewing process, careful selection of ingredients, and rigorous fermentation conditions. Additionally, it incorporates thorough chemical and sensory analysis to evaluate the impact of papaya on flavour and aroma, ensuring that the findings are both reproducible and relevant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1. Some references were found to be missing those which were added in the manuscript. 2. The name of the Journal mentioned in the references was not in Italics as it should be.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is correct but needs some corrections like : 1. Even and proper spacing between words. 2. Removal of unnecessary Capitalization of some words. 3. Mention the name of bacteria and scientific names in Italics like <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> . 4. The article (a, an, the) used needs to be re-checked. 5. Rectify the spellings.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The details, explanations, and findings are completely ok, well-elaborated and up-to-date. But need minor changes as mentioned above.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Atul Anand Mishra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sam Higginbotom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, India</b>