

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122558</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Morphological evaluation of locally available cultivated taro (<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>) cultivars of Kokrajhar district for growth and yield attributes</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study area is an important area to identify the morphological characters of the Cultivars of the species Taro. There may be lot of locally available cultivars which could develop for consumption. Desimination of information on such cultivars may be important for other countries to cultivate.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Need to mention the country	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Better to improve the Abstract. Objectives are not included properly and the writing style should be improved. Conclusion is not matched.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Abstract and Conclusion should be rewrite. Data collection and analysis are not indicated properly.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Conclusion part should rewrite and Abstract also should be well organized.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Should use recent references. Most of them are old.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Can be improved	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Abstract and Conclusion should be rewrite.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>W B K Bandara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Lanka Institute of Advanced Technological Education, Sri Lanka</b>