

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122431
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of leaf and seed powder based edible coating with beeswax and Clove essential oil on the shelf life of guava (Psidium guajava) cv. Allahabad Safeda
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community due to its novel approach to addressing a critical research gap, It involves using methods for packaging and preserving food, including fruits, to extend their shelf life The thorough analysis and detailed findings presented within offer valuable insights that can potentially advance the field. The clarity of the methodology and the coherence of the results make it a compelling read for researchers seeking to deepen their understanding of the subject matter. However, a more extensive discussion on the limitations of the study and potential avenues for future research would further enhance its scholarly impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , It comperhensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	To some extent, there are sections that need to be given subtitles, such as chemicals, statistical analysis	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript was characterized by clarity of research objectives, coherence of methodology, soundness of data analysis, adherence to scientific standards, use of appropriate statistical methods, and valid conclusions supported by evidence were drawn.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, its	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sawsan Ali AL-Hilifi
Department, University & Country	Basrah University, Iraq