

Original Research Article

GROWTH PARAMETERS AND PROTEIN CONTENT OF MAIZE AS INFLUENCED BY SOWING METHODS AND DIFFERENT LEVELS OF NITROGEN

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was carried out at Research Farm, Integral University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India during Kharif season, 2021. The experiment envisages to study the effect of Sowing methods and different Nitrogen levels on yield and attributes of maize crop. The experiment was laid down in the Split Plot Design and the treatments ~~were~~ replicated three times. The maximum plant height of 56.92 cm, 235.17 cm and 237.16 cm was observed in the ridge method of sowing at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively. Among nitrogen levels, the maximum plant height of 57.61 cm, 235.29 cm and 238.38 cm was observed at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively in the treatment (N5) 25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea. Among nitrogen levels, the maximum DMA of 86.30, 425.36 and 1287.73 g sqm⁻¹ day⁻¹ was observed at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively in the treatment (N5) 25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea. At harvest stage number of leaves per plant were highest in the S1 (14.33) and N5 (16.13) treatment. Among nitrogen levels, the maximum leaf area index (LAI) of 1.55, 2.49 and 1.9 was observed at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively in the treatment N5. Among sowing methods, highest protein content was found in the ridge method (10.14 %) followed by flat method (9.81 %) and broadcast method (9.42 %) of sowing. The protein yielded maximum of 586.15 kg ha⁻¹ in the N5 treatment. All parameters showed better in granular urea application rather than nano-urea, when used solely.

Keywords: tasselling stage, nano-urea

Comment [U1]: Add few more key words and capitalize key word

INTRODUCTION

Maize, ~~scientifically classified as~~ *Zea mays* L., is a highly significant fodder and cereal crop renowned for its extensive availability. ~~and it~~ belongs to the Poaceae family and is regarded as a “Queen of cereals” (Sachan et al., 2024). Maize has become a major industrial

Comment [U2]: No bold and et al to be in italics

crop worldwide, with 83% of its production going into feed, starch, and biofuel industries. Globally, maize consumption is divided as follows: 61% for feed, 17% for food, and 22% for industrial uses. This distribution highlights maize's significant role in driving the global agricultural economy (FAO, 2020). It is predominantly cultivated during the kharif season, often in rainfed conditions and in marginal areas such as the hilly terrains of the Kashmir Valley, where it is frequently intercropped with pulses. Maize requires ample moisture and specific temperature conditions for optimal growth: 21°C for germination and 32°C for overall growth. However, maize is highly sensitive to stagnant water, particularly during its early growth stages (Chaudhary et al., 2012).

Comment [U3]: Change the references to normal from bold and make et al in italics

Fertilizer application is crucial for optimizing both the yield and quality of fodder maize. Providing an adequate supply of nutrients at each growth stage is essential for improving maize fodder's yield and quality. Nitrogen, in particular, is a key nutrient required in relatively large quantities. It is a fundamental component of chlorophyll, protoplasm, amino acids, and nucleic acids. Nitrogen promotes the growth and development of all plant tissues, enhancing fodder quality and increasing the protein content of grains. It is a critical yield-limiting factor in agricultural systems (Subedi, 2009). Nitrogen is primarily supplied through mineral fertilizers, farm manure, symbiotic nitrogen fixation, and atmospheric deposition (both wet and dry). Essential for plant growth, nitrogen constitutes 1 to 4% of plant dry matter and facilitates the utilization of phosphorus, potassium, and other nutrients (Rashid et al., 2024).

Sowing methods or land management system plays a major role in improving water and nutrient use efficiency of field crops. Land configuration increases water use efficiency and also increases availability of nutrients to crops (Chiroma et al., 2008). The superiority of ridges and furrow system could be ascribed to proper drainage of excess water coupled with adequate aeration at the time of irrigation or heavy rainfall. Among the plant nutrients, nitrogen (N) management is one of the most important factors required for improving crop productivity (Amanullah, 2016).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

The experiment was carried out at Research Farm, Integral University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India during Kharif, 2021. Lucknow has a humid subtropical climate with hot, sunny summers from March to May. The city receives an average of 835 millimetres of rainfall from the

southwest monsoon winds between June to October. Summers are very hot with temperatures rising to 40-45 °C (104-113 °F) range.

Edaphic condition

The soil in the experimental field was clayey in texture and slightly alkaline with pH of 7.8. Organic carbon in the soil was 0.31% which was estimated by rapid titration method given by **Walkley and Black (1934)**. The available Nitrogen in soil was 138 kg ha⁻¹, which was estimated by the Alkaline permanganate method given by **Subbiah and Asija (1956)**. The available Phosphorus was 17.7 kg ha⁻¹ estimated by Olsen's method given by **Olsen et al. (1954)**. The available K was 294.1 kg ha⁻¹ which was estimated by the Flame photometer method given by **Jackson (1973)**.

Experimental design and treatment details

The experiment was designed as Split Plot Design. The treatments were replicated thrice. The details of the treatments are as follows: -

Treatments Details	
Main plots (Method of sowing)	
S1	Ridge sowing
S2	Flat-bed sowing
S3	Broadcasting
Sub plot (Nitrogen Management)	
N1	100% N through granular urea
N2	100% N through Nano-urea
N3	75% N through granular urea + 25% N through Nano-urea
N4	50% N through granular urea + 50% N through Nano-urea
N5	25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea

*RDF= 120 N : 60 P₂O₅ :60 K₂O

Preparation of the experimental field and application of fertilizers

The seed bed was prepared by ploughing with a cultivator followed by rotavator. The field was then manually laid out according to the plan and **TMMH 826** Hybrid seeds were sown at a rate of 25 kg ha⁻¹ with a spacing of 45 cm × 20 cm. Granular Urea (46% N) and

Nano urea (4% N) was applied as per the treatments, while DAP (46% P₂O₅) and MOP (60% K₂O) were uniformly applied as a basal application across all treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant Height

The data pertaining to plant height is given under table 1. Among sowing methods, the maximum plant height of 56.92 cm, 235.17 cm and 237.16 cm was observed in the ridge method of sowing at knee height stage, tasseling stage and at harvest stage, respectively. Among nitrogen levels, the maximum plant height of 57.61 cm, 235.29 cm and 238.38 cm was observed at knee height stage, tasseling stage and at harvest stage, respectively in the treatment (N5) 25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea. Sole application of granular urea was found to be better than nano-urea. Similar type of results was also obtained by Naik et al., 2022; Manwar and Mankar, 2015.

Table-1: Plant Height(cm) in maize at different sowing methods and nitrogen levels

Treatments	Plant height(cm)		
	Knee height stage	Tasseling stage	At harvest
Method of sowing			
S1	56.92	235.17	237.16
S2	54.23	229.31	235.32
S3	51.20	228.16	229.12
SEm±	1.01	0.91	1.35
CD (P=0.5)	4.07	3.68	5.49
Nitrogen levels			
N1	52.22	228.93	232.91
N2	50.37	227.34	228.53
N3	54.57	230.44	233.87
N4	55.79	232.42	235.66
N5	57.61	235.29	238.38

SEm±	0.83	1.15	1.39
CD (P=0.5)	2.45	3.39	4.08

Dry matter accumulation

The data pertaining to Dry matter accumulation (DMA) is given under table 2. Among sowing methods, the maximum DMA of 78.32, 365.9, 1279.96 g sqm⁻¹day⁻¹ was observed in the ridge method of sowing at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively. Among nitrogen levels, the maximum DMA of 86.30, 425.36 and 1287.73 g sqm⁻¹day⁻¹ was observed at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively in the treatment (N5) 25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea. Sole application of granular urea was found to be better than nano-urea. Hence, higher DMA was found in granular urea compared to nano urea. Similar type of results was also obtained by **Thakur et al., 2011**.

Table-2: Dry matter accumulation (g/m²/day)

Comment [U4]: Give table title in a sentence not as a word

Treatments	Dry matter accumulation (g/m ² /day)		
	Knee height stage	Tasseling stage	At harvest
Method of sowing			
S1	78.32	365.90	1279.96
S2	70.27	353.24	1264.56
S3	62.35	333.21	1243.44
SEm±	2.96	4.85	1.68
CD (P=0.5)	11.95	19.56	6.77
Nitrogen levels			
N1	69.40	309.22	1250.33
N2	42.61	290.22	1236.40
N3	75.16	350.02	1265.73
N4	78.10	379.31	1273.06
N5	86.30	425.36	1287.73
SEm±	2.30	5.40	3.41
CD (P=0.5)	06.76	15.85	10.03

Number of leaves per plant

The data pertaining to number of leaves per plant is given under table 3. Among sowing methods, the maximum number of leaves per plant of 7.82, 12.82 and 14.33 was observed in the ridge method of sowing at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively. Among nitrogen levels, the maximum number of leaves per plant of 8.43, 13.81 and 16.14 was observed at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively in the treatment (N5) 25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea. Similar type of results was also obtained by Naik et al., 2022; Manwar and Mankar, 2015.

Table-3: Number of leaves per plant

Comment [U5]: Similar comment to table 2 title

Treatments	Number of leaves per plant		
	Knee height stage	Tasseling stage	At harvest
Method of sowing			
S1	7.82	12.82	14.33
S2	6.88	11.19	13.98
S3	6.05	9.86	13.32
SEm±	0.12	0.23	0.14
CD (P=0.5)	0.49	0.90	0.56
Nitrogen levels			
N1	6.12	9.94	12.73
N2	5.18	8.72	11.61
N3	6.96	10.98	13.98
N4	7.90	13	14.90
N5	8.43	13.81	16.14
SEm±	0.18	0.36	0.43
CD (P=0.5)	0.54	1.10	1.27

Leaf area index (LAI)

The data pertaining to Leaf area index is given under table 4. Sole application of granular urea was found to be better than nano-urea. Hence, higher LAI was found in granular urea

compared to nano urea. Among sowing methods, the maximum LAI of 1.54, 2.45 and 1.83 was observed in the ridge method of sowing at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively. Among nitrogen levels, the maximum LAI of 1.55, 2.49 and 1.9 was observed at knee height stage, tasselling stage and at harvest stage, respectively in the treatment (N5) 25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea. Similar type of results was also obtained by **Naik et al., 2022; Manwar and Mankar, 2015.**

Treatments	Leaf area index		
	Knee height stage	Tasseling stage	At harvest
Method of sowing			
S1	1.54	2.45	1.83
S2	1.46	2.42	1.69
S3	1.39	2.38	1.60
SEm±	0.014	0.012	0.008
CD (P=0.5)	0.055	0.047	0.032
Nitrogen levels			
N1	1.43	2.38	1.61
N2	1.38	2.35	1.54
N3	1.46	2.40	1.70
N4	1.51	2.45	1.77
N5	1.55	2.49	1.90
SEm±	0.015	0.011	0.009
CD (P=0.5)	0.045	0.031	0.026

Table-4: Leaf area index

Comment [U6]: Title in sentence

Protein in grain (%) and Protein yield (kg ha⁻¹)

The data pertaining to protein content in grain is given under table 5. Among sowing methods, highest protein content was found in the ridge method(10.14 %) followed by flat method (9.81 %) and broadcast method(9.42 %) of sowing. Among nitrogen levels highest protein content was found in the N5 treatment followed by N4. The protein percent was comparatively higher in sole application of granular urea as compared to nano-urea.

Similarly, protein yield was higher in (S1)ridge method of sowing and 25% N through granular urea + 75% N through Nano-urea(N5).Similar type of results was also obtained by **Thakur et al., 2011; Khan et al., 2011; Ali & Anjum,2017**.

Comment [U7]: Remove bold for all references and et al to be in italics. In any of the table there is no discussion. Please discuss the results

Table-5: Protein in grain (%) and Protein yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Treatments	Protein (%) in grain	Protein Yield (kg per hectare)
Sowing methods		
S1	10.14	522.24
S2	9.81	474.52
S3	9.42	424.14
SEm±	0.026	6.58
CD (P=0.5)	0.105	26.56
Nitrogen levels		
N1	9.5	420.09
N2	9.3	373.90
N3	9.8	466.01
N4	10.06	522.01
N5	10.28	586.15
SEm±	0.032	16.72
CD (P=0.5)	0.095	49.11

CONCLUSION

Research data indicated that using only urea was more effective than using nano-urea alone. However, combining urea with nano-urea yielded the best results for maize in the plains of Uttar Pradesh. Nano-urea applied by itself did not produce satisfactory outcomes. Therefore, it is recommended to cultivate maize using ridge sowing and apply 25% of the nitrogen as granular urea and 75% as nano-urea.

REFERENCES

Ali, N., & Anjum, M. M. (2017). Effect of different nitrogen rates on growth, yield and quality of maize. *Middle East J. Agric. Res*, 6(1), 107-112.

Amanullah, Yasir M., Khan A. Z., Tariq Jan M. (2016). Phenology, growth, and grain yield of maize as influenced by foliar applied urea at different growth stages. *J. Plant Nutr.* 33, 71–79.

Chaudhary D.P., Kumar A., Mandhania S.S., Srivastava P., Kumar R.S. Maize as fodder? An alternate approach. *Technical bulletin, directorate of maize research, Indian Council of Agricultural Research*, New Delhi; 2012.

Chiroma, A. M.; Alhassan, A. B. and Khan, B. (2008). Yield and water use efficiency of millet as affected by land configuration treatments. *J. Sustainable Agric.* 32 (2): 321 – 333.

FAOSTAT. *Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations*; 2020.

Jackson, M.L. (1973) *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.

Khan, H. Z., Iqbal, S., Iqbal, A., Akbar, N., & Jones, D. L. (2011). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties to different levels of nitrogen. *Crop and Environment*, 2(2), 15-19.

Manwar, B. Pand Mankar, D. D. (2015). Effect of land configuration and fertilizer management in kharif maize (*Zea mays* L.): *Journal of Soils and Crops*, 25(1) pp.220-225

Naik, M. R., Hemalatha, S., Reddy, A. P. K., Madhuri, K. N., Umamahesh, V., & Rakesh, S. (2022). Efficient Need Based Nitrogen Management in Rabi Maize (*Zea mays* L.) using Leaf Colour Chart Under Varied Planting Density. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 13(Jun, 6), 586-594.

Olsen, S.R., Cole, V.C., Watanable, F.S. and Dean, L.A. (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *Cir. U.S. Dept. Agric.* 939.

Rashid, Zahida, Saima Nissar, Tanveer Ahmad Ahngar, Raies A Bhat, Sabina Nasseer, Shabeena Majid, Aamir H mir, Sabiya Bashir, EfathShehnaz, Rakhshanda Anayat, and Z. A Dar. 2024. "Effect of Sowing Dates and Nitrogen Levels on Growth,

Yield, Quality and Economics of Fodder Maize (Zea Mays L.) SFM 1". *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports* 30 (8):251-62.

Sachan, D. S., Khan, N., Maurya, C. L., & Singh, B. (2024). Influence of different herbicides on the growth, growth attributes and yield of maize (Zea mays L.) under central plains zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(3), 9-19.

Subbiah, B.V. and Ashija, G.L. (1956) A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Sciences*, 25: 259-260

Subedi, Ma BL. Assessment of major yield limiting factors Of maize production in humid temperate environment. *Field Crop Research*. 2009;110(1):21-26.

Thakur, N.S., Kushwaha, B.B and Sinha, N.K. (2011). Productivity and water use in kharif sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) under different land configurations and mulching. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 56 (1): 47-51

UNDER PEER REVIEW