

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_121995</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluating the Impact of River Bank Erosion on Environmental Stream Morphology for the Mini Piti Stream, Obio-Akpor, Rivers State, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper has no scientific component. The paper units are not adequately separated from each other. There is no Results in the manuscript that are unacceptable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>No.</b> <b>Morphology of the river to assess the impact on the environment in the Mini Piti Stream, Nigeria</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No.(view my comments)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is not technically written or scientifically based. I wrote my comments in the attached document.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	Abstract is clearly written. The English language is satisfactory, but it is necessary to correct numerous typos. The work lacks a clearly emphasised methodology. It is suggested that Unit 2.2 be included in the Results. Section 2.5 should be called Results and Discussion, not just Discussion, as the paper does not have a Results section. The paper does not contain data on the physico-chemical parameters of the water, but it is referred to in the Conclusion. The paper does not contain concrete results on how bank erosion affects water quality and the environment. The paper does not contain any substantive conclusions, although it does contain field measurements, which is good. The Conclusion of the work is undefined. The paper has no specific goals, so the subtitles were created by skimming the text. I suggest either rejecting the paper outright or asking the authors to revise the work completely. I suggest changing the title of the paper as it does not fit the aims and results. Add data on the physico-chemical properties of water, if the authors have them, so that they can relate the data obtained on bank movement to water pollution and add an ecological component. Second, use some statistical methods to show the relationship between water pollution and the extent of bank movement, the relationship between wet and dry seasons, and the like.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is satisfactory, but it is need for correction of typos.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper has no specific goals, so the subtitles were created by skimming the text. I suggest either rejecting the paper outright or asking the authors to revise the work completely.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Milena Gocić</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Niš, Serbia</b>