

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_122328
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence and Severity of Hypoglycemia During Hemodialysis in ESRD: Diabetic and Non-Diabetic Cases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Hemodialysis is one of the ways through which people with kidney diseases can be kept alive, Hypoglycemia the complication affect both diabetic and non-diabetic patients, It is important to know the occurrence and prognosis of Hypoglycemia to better manage patients with ESRD. There is a lack of data regarding the actual rates of hypoglycemia between diabetic and non-diabetic ESRD patients, as well as the variability of the severity levels of hypoglycemia in these groups. When hypoglycemia is severe, patients with ESRD, who tend to have numerous co morbidities and decreased physical reserves, run the risk of a catastrophic event. So this manuscript is very much necessary for better surveillance and intervention efforts for ESRD patients.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, Suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes. It's comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, It's appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This study is scientifically correct. This is cross-sectional observational study. The study involved 156 participants who were ESRD and receiving routine hemodialysis, making the findings an invaluable resource in estimating the rates of hypoglycemia during dialysis sessions. The findings demonstrate that there is a high prevalence of hypoglycemia in this population, in addition to important differences between diabetics and others. The results presented in this paper underscore the current limitations of monitoring and management practices, underscoring the need for more effective guidelines and interventions to minimize hypoglycemia in this population.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes. The references are sufficient but some are not recent. But if within 10 years references might be more valuable.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The Author might have given some statistical informations related the prevalence and complications related to the disease condition.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No, there is no ethical issues found,	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Lakshmi Prabha
Department, University & Country	Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing, India