

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_122093
Title of the Manuscript:	Essential thrombocythemia revealed by myocardial infarction: A Case Report and Literature Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript draw attention towards cardiac complications associated with essential thrombocytosis, acute coronary syndrome is one of the rare complications seen in this group of the patients. However, in this manuscript author did not describe the type of acute coronary syndrome such as STEMI or NSTEMI-ACS.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of manuscript is little casual. ACS did not lead to essential thrombocytosis and its diagnosis but vice versa. Here essential thrombocytosis presents as one of its rare complications.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>In the management of coronary events it is not described in detail such as what was the diagnosis as far as acute coronary syndrome is concerned.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ECG changes are not presents as described by the author...it is not fulfilling criteria for acute myocardial infarction and same not supported by echocardiography. 2. Incomplete description regarding the percutaneous coronary intervention like what was stent strategy is it provisional or 2 stent strategy for side branch. No image for final angiographic result provided. 3. Was the intravascular imaging performed in this patient to consider the aetiology of stenosis and to guide further management? 4. Why option of drug coated balloon was not considered in this young patient? 5. What was anticoagulation/antiplatelets strategy after percutaneous intervention? 6. What is the planned therapy for long term for ET is not mentioned. Any consideration of JAK2 V617F mutation inhibitors such as ruxolitinib or cPDE 5 inhibitors such as anagrelide? 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsection are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript requires some aspect of management to discuss in detail by providing further information	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mayank Yadav
Department, University & Country	Fortis Hospital, India