

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIMPS_122687</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INVESTIGATING THE PROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF ASCORBIC ACID AGAINST PARACETAMOL-INDUCED LIVER TOXICITY IN WISTAR RATS</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this manuscript because paracetamol is very widely used today as analgesic, and its hepatotoxicity adverse effect act as a silent danger with chronic use especially with elderly. The hepatoprotective approach should be consider with safe antioxidant vitamins (vit C, E, A), their role is the most suitable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest title may shorten to: THE PROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF ASCORBIC ACID AGAINST PARACETAMOL-INDUCED LIVER TOXICITY IN WISTAR RATS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, it may require minor grammar correction and avoidance of abbreviations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific robust depends on many factors within the manuscript like the accuracy and details of method and procedure used, also it may appear in the clear result with reasonable findings, the discussion cover all result explanation, in spite of this work didn't introduce new idea.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Timetable of the study should be mentioned in the method section please (month and year where the study take place).</li> <li>- Author should use one abbreviation for paracetamol, traveling from PCM to APAP is not accepted in one article.</li> <li>- Use of pH instead of ph.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maysaa Banay Zubairi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Basrah, Iraq</b>