

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_122687
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATING THE PROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF ASCORBIC ACID AGAINST PARACETAMOL-INDUCED LIVER TOXICITY IN WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Similar works have been reported. But this manuscript deals with the effect ascorbic acid on mitigating the paracetamol-induced liver toxicity in wistar rats. And this, I believe, is good investigation for the science community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract contains unnecessary detailed procedure which must be summarized, it also needs the addition of clear conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct that it contains all the most important sections of an article supported by clear results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript contains some grammatical errors. The method section needs modification (esp. 2.3 Paracetamol 500mg tablet (Emzor, Nigeria). The conclusion part really needs to be revised and modified, it must be coherent and in line with the title and objective of the work. I think including recommendation in the paper is unusual. I suggest that the results obtained in the work should be compared to previously reported results.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiflom Gebremedhn Kelele
Department, University & Country	Woldia University, Ethiopia