

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_122806
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Age on HIV Risk Related Behaviours among Undergraduate Students at a Historically Black College and University (HBCU)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is of good importance for the scientific community. The study has been conducted on a vulnerable population, independent youths far from parental control. To study their risk behaviours to HIV will give strong arguments to advocate specific mediatisation on responsible behaviours, as well as other measures to prevent new HIV infections at their early life stage.</p> <p>The problem of this manuscript is the poor information quantity. Many aspects of the socio-demographic characteristics of the study population have not been checked. There is no HIV testing to confirm that risk behaviours observed have really impact on HIV exposure. So with the kind of methodology, this manuscript could be published as short communication after modifications.</p> <p>There is too much repetitions in the text, elucidating that data are not really consistent.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>This title looks like the work has been done on the entire age groups. Considering the 2 groups involved, I would suggest one of these titles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The effect of immaturity on HIV Risk Related Behaviors among Undergraduate Students at a Historically Black College and University (HBCU) in..., USA <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The effect of young age on HIV Risk Related Behaviors among Undergraduate Students at a Historically Black College and University (HBCU) in..., USA <p>Or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The effect of young adolescence on HIV Risk Related Behaviors among Undergraduate Students at Jackson State University, a Historically Black College and University (HBCU) in..., USA 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive but not convincing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Where is the study conducted? Good to locate . State in a few words the scope of your study as well as the problem you wish to address . State all the risk behaviours encountered in the study . No new result in the conclusion. Give your main results at the results statement 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript form is correct and written in a simple and right language. The different parts of the document are well separated and easy to read. Scientifically, the manuscript is fair as technique used to collect data (questionnaire) is really light. Given that the study has been conducted since 2016, if the questionnaire did not content the suggested variables, then the manuscript needs to be corrected and</p>	

Review Form 3

	transform into a short communication instead of original article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, reference ares recent	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Touangnou-Chamda Sabine Aimée
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde I, Cameroon