

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_122806
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Age on HIV Risk Related Behaviors among Undergraduate Students at a Historically Black College and University (HBCU)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors properly described the age and gender issue with level of HIV related risk behaviour of African American undergraduate students. The authors urge for age specific HIV prevention program to prevent transmission and protection of young age group from risk of morbidity consequences and mortality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title should be self-explanatory, attractive and should avoid any short form in the title. Title should satisfy at least three questions – Who (students), what (HIV) and where (USA)? So, I revised the title as “Effect of Age on Human Immunodeficiency Virus Risk Related Behaviors among Undergraduate Students at a Historically Black College and University in the United States of America”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Partly yes. However, to the best of my understanding, I added some words and sentences and deleted some duplicate or irrelevant words and sentences. Authors or editors can accept or reject my addition or deletion. To strengthen its importance, author could add some important variable such as number with % of respondents received sex education or education about HIV infection transmission, source of getting information/education about HIV and STI infection transmission, is HIV issue included in any level of education curriculum in USA or not, comparison with other HIV prevalent countries beyond USA, availability and accessibility (including cost) of protective commodities, nationality or country of origin (to compare), proper description of causes of not using protection, gender-wise risk behavior etc.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This may be based on journal requirements. However, along with mentioned core sections, the key words, a separate short description of outcome and independent variables, a limitation, conflict of interest, abbreviation, funding sources, acknowledgement and authors contribution may be the key points of a good manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors precisely and sequentially described the global and American scenarios of HIV infection status, risk behaviour of different age groups among undergraduate students. The findings validated with other studies. As students are the future of the nation, their behaviours related problems and suggestive sense have properly reflected in this study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I am ok with number of references with old and recent findings. But the other country's findings would validate the results beyond USA.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors created my urge to know – how many respondents checked their STI or HIV status? Is it free in USA? Why was the Male and female risk behaviour not separately explained? I observed some language errors and tried to correct accordingly. Authors or editors can accept or reject my addition or deletion.	
Optional/General comments	I carefully reviewed and corrected multiple areas only to support authors. However, variable is comparatively minimum (only age group).	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Abu Sayem
Department, University & Country	University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh