

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_122806
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Age on HIV Risk Related Behaviors among Undergraduate Students at a Historically Black College and University (HBCU)
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This research is the effect of age on HIV risk related behaviors among exposed category, which was never investigated before. It therefore represents a starting point for estimating the diffusion of the phenomenon. However, there are some limitations, which make it difficult to understand how much the age of participants is actually responsible for the infection.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>It is suitable, but will be more precise if the area of the study is included</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>There are some imperfections in the abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research gap is not included in the first paragraph of the abstract • The method of sample determination is not included • Method of statistical analysis is not included • Time frame of data collection is not included • Study area is not specified • How statistically significant variables are determined? • Have No key points <p>Generally The abstract should be improved to show a true representative of the content and contributions of the paper and to properly convey the rigor of research.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No! The authors mention the relevant and recent related studies to give information to readers about the current knowledge. The introduction appears to follow a logical progression. However, the manuscript should include the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data processing and analysis • Sample size determination • Data gathering and quality control • Limitations of the study • Inclusion and exclusion criteria • Authors' contributions • Accessibility of data and materials • Ethics approval and consent to participate 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The topic is very interesting, emerging and challenging. The subject addressed is within the scope of the journal. This is a very well written technical report with scientific questions or applying a new method for investigation.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are comprehensive</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs some amendments.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Birhanu Betela Warssamo
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia