

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_122849
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the impact of a fertilizer mixture from plant extracts and Urea on corn yield in Vietnam
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The submitted manuscript data reports seem interesting and has a sustainable impact on future research. The outcomes of the research definitely help the farmers as well as researchers to improve the quality of soil, through which the bulk production of crops will be possible. Research has socio-economic impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is Well described in view from, scientific and research terms.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Materials used to complete the experiment are not written.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this research, a new approach has been developed on how to improve crop production through urea fertilizer, which can prove to be helpful in providing food to today's growing population.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but not to be recent. So, author(s) advised to add recent (2022,2023,2024) years references to clear the current research gap.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is ok, but I recommend this manuscript for minor revision for the improvement of manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Figure 1 cited but it is missing. 2. Table 2 is not cited in the manuscript. 3. To make more graphical representations by excel or origin software of the resulting data for better understanding of the results. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paritosh L. Mishra
Department, University & Country	Sardar Patel Mahavidyalaya, India