

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRAF_121864 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Study on sex determination and variation in gender expression of individual trees in a population of Cedrus deodara (Roxb.) G. Don. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The paper provides an insight into the sex determination and variation in gender expression of <i>Cedrus deodara</i> . It is noted that the changes are part of the adaptations of the plant towards the climate changes. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, but it can be improved if the study area is also provided and modified as "Study on sex determination and variation in gender expression of individual trees in a population of <i>Cedrus deodara</i> (Roxb.) G. Don. from dense temperate forests of Northern India" | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes. Include a sentence that links the conclusion of the work. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The methodology seems to be significantly sound. The sample numbers are good enough to draw the conclusions. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | Any voucher specimens of herbariums submitted to ensure the correct identity of the specimens? | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Sreehari S Nair |
| Department, University & Country | St. Teresa's College, India |