

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_120168
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Quality Assessment and its impact on shrimp in Rupnarayan River: A comparative study on the basis of February to May of 2022-2024
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community?	The manuscript describe some chemical parameters of water that could affect the health of some species of prawns. These information collected could be used For environment surveillance in relation with specific source of pollution. From scientific point of view is important for offering information about aquatic species In a defined area.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes, the title describe well the content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure of the article is appropriate	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	There are some points to be clarified. First of all, for the species described should be mentioned the actual taxonomic name. As example the actual name of whiteleg shrimp is <u>Litopenaeus vannameis</u> Penaeus vannamei. In some section is also mentioned P. Vennamei. The other species described should be Macrobrachium and not Microbrachium These aspects should be corrected for scientific accuracy. Another point to be clarified is the source of Litopenaeus spp. The source is aquaculture ? In the article is described as Wild capture but this species does not appear as wild in fresh water but only in aquaculture.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, the references are enough for the purpose.	

**Review Form 1.8**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are few words and sentences which should be revised and corrected before publication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<u>Gramatical and typing errors to be corrected.</u>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Horia Albu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute for Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health, Romania</b>