

**Review Form 1.8**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFAR_120168</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Water Quality Assessment and its impact on shrimp in Rupnarayan River: A comparative study on the basis of February to May of 2022-2024</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	The manuscript on shrimp aquaculture presents innovative findings and is backed by extensive research. However, the writing style and arrangement do not adhere to standard scientific conventions, which could undermine the clarity and impact of the research. A more structured and scientifically rigorous approach to presenting the data and conclusions is necessary to ensure the manuscript's findings are effectively communicated to the academic community.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggest changing title into "Water Quality Assessment and its impact on shrimp in Rupnarayan River"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	The abstract not comprehensive	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	subsections and structure of the manuscript not appropriate	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	The manuscript is not scientifically correct. The writing style is not scientifically correct. The information was given in the form of points. It is supposed in the paragraph. The finding table and figure were in the methodology section.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	Reference is insufficient especially for the discussion part.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 1.8**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hadi Hamli</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia</b>