

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_124664
Title of the Manuscript:	Modulation of Tumor Suppressor Genes by Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is very nice addressing the effects of hyperacetylation induced by HDAC inhibitors on tumour suppressor genes. It highlights the importance of such epigenetic events on cancer therapy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	This manuscript is fine and well-organized but needs English language check using Microsoft office tools.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This manuscript is fine and well-organized but needs English language check using Microsoft office tools.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript is fine and well-organized but needs English language check using Microsoft office tools.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussam Baghdadi
Department, University & Country	Taibah College of Medicine, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia