

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122846
Title of the Manuscript:	Nano Management Techniques for Soil Reclamation
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very much important as it emphasizes the importance of nano-technology on soil quality and enhancing the crop production and sustainable yield. The use of nano zinc, sulphur, gypsum and biochar increase the soil quality, crop productivity, sustainable use of agricultural practices which shows efficacy and overall best productive agricultural practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable and it shows the best selection for research work to show its importance.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract of the article is comprehensive. Abstract is the main theme of the research work. I appreciate the effort of the author as there is best explanation of the nature of work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All the subsections and structures are according to the author's guidelines as provided by the journal.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and technically sound. The research work shows the best methodology and findings related to the agricultural practices by using nano technology. The manuscript is fully scientific and supported by the evidences.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the references are sufficient and recent. I highly appreciate that.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. I highly appreciate that.	
Optional/General comments	This type of work is needed in agriculture filed for new researchers and filed workers to learn the advantageous Techniques to enhance the soil and crop quality. Such type of work should be promoted on large scale in agricultural seminars for awareness.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ahmed Saqib
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture Faisalabad Pakistan